

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.No.M-34934 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05th November, 2015

Anamika Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana,
for the respondent(s)-State.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.257 dated 02.06.2014, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak, under Sections 420, 406, 506, 120-B IPC.

Notice of motion was issued.

Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

As per prosecution version, the main accused is Amit Lamba who firstly represented for getting appointment to the post of Light Driver and he also allured the complainant to invest Rs.3,50,000/- and company is to be started in UAE. The only

allegation against the petitioner, who is wife of Amit Lamba, is that Rs.11,000/- has been handed over to her at the asking of Amit Lamba and there is general allegation that amount is paid to the brother as well as wife of Amit Lamba. The main accused is Amit Lamba. It is stated that at the instance of Amit Lamba, the amount was delivered at the house to Aanamika Yadav, wife of Amit Lamba etc. The petitioner has already joined the investigation. She is not required for custodial interrogation and she is not the main accused. The main allegations are against Amit Lamba. No useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioner to custody.

Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the present petition is accepted and the order dated 13.10.2015, passed by this Court, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute. It is ordered that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and she shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

05th November, 2015
mamta

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE