

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Misc.No.10097 of 2014 &
Civil Writ Petition No.11109 of 2007
Date of Decision: July 21, 2015

Akhtar & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.J.S.Maani, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Hitesh Pandit, Addl.A.G.Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Rejoinder is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners for regularisation had been rejected by relying upon the notification dated 13.4.2007 vide order dated 4.7.2007 (Annexure P-8), whereas the said notification has been withdrawn. He further submits that the petitioners would be satisfied in case an appropriate direction is issued to the respondents to consider their case for regularisation of services in terms of the policy dated 1.10.2003 (Annexure P-2).

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners for regularisation of their services in terms of the notification dated 1.10.2003 (Annexure P-2) within a period of four months from the date of passing of this order after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, much less, in accordance with law.

July 21, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE