

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.34834 of 2014

Date of decision: 6th April, 2015

Mukul Arora and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The trial Court vide its order dated 27.10.2014 after recording statements of complainant Smt. Kanika Arora as well as accused Mukul Arora, Satya Pal Arora and Neena Arora has shown its satisfaction qua the compromise Ex.C1 to be an outcome of free will and without any coercion or undue pressure.

Heard.

Keeping in view that the matrimonial dispute has been put to an end and offences are not of very serious nature, in the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and in view of the fact that

compromise will go a long way in resolving the personal dispute and to bring about peace and harmony, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.69 dated 05.10.2012 registered at Police Station Women Cell, Distt. Jalandhar City under Sections 406/498-A IPC and all consequences arising thereof are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 6, 2015
rps