

125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3474 of 2015

Date of decision: February 02, 2015

Praveen Beri and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Karan Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SABINA, J

Learned counsel for petitioners has submitted that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition to enable the petitioners to take up all the pleas available to them at the time of framing of charges, before the trial Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, personal appearance of the petitioners No.2 and 3-Smt. Neena Beri and Archana Beri, respectively, before the trial Court, during trial, shall remain exempted subject to the following conditions:-

- i. petitioners No.2 and 3 shall be represented through counsel;
- ii. shall not delay/stall the trial proceedings;
- iii. shall not dispute their identity as accused;
- iv. shall have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in their absence but in the presence of their counsel;
- v. shall appear before the Trial Court as and when required by the Trial Court;
- vi. any other condition which the learned Trial Court may impose.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

February 02, 2015