

**215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34702-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision : 15.10.2015**

KRISHAN KUMAR @ TIKU

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sharad Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, D.A.G., Haryana.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is an application for regular bail in case bearing FIR No.57 dated 13.02.2014 registered under Sections 365, 395 and 171 IPC at Police Station Sadar Gohana, District Sonapat.

As per the allegations the complainant was travelling in his vehicle when he was stopped by the petitioner and his co-accused who were impersonating police officials who forcibly took away his driver and vehicle.

Learned counsel has argued that even as per the FIR the driver was left unharmed on the next day. Learned counsel has further argued that the entire recovery has been made and the petitioner has now been in custody for 20 months and there is no prospect of early conclusion of the trial since no prosecution witness has been examined till date. Learned DAG, on instructions from SI Azad has accepted these factual assertions.

In these circumstances I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of bail to the present petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

October 15, 2015
sunita

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE