

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34660 of 2015.
Decided on:-12.10.2015.

Gursewak Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Davinder Lubana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of interim bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.209 dated 21.9.2007 under Sections 302, 307, 148 and 149 IPC as well as Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Patiala on the ground that the marriage of Rajwinder Kaur, who is younger daughter of the petitioner is fixed for 16.10.2015.

Reply by way of affidavit of Harpal Singh, PPS, DSP, City-I Patiala along with custody certificate of the petitioner has been filed by learned State counsel, which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that earlier, this court in CRM-M-34373 of 2010 has granted interim bail to the petitioner to attend the marriage of his daughter Amritpal Kaur which was fixed for 4.12.2010 vide order dated 29.11.2010. This Court while granting interim bail to the petitioner, had directed him to surrender before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patiala on 7.12.2010. Similarly, vide CRM-M-41661 of 2010, this Court has granted interim bail for one day to the petitioner on account of the marriage of his niece on December 9, 2014.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that considering the fact that Rajwinder Kaur is daughter of the petitioner, he is required to perform certain ritual ceremonies in the marriage. The past record of the petitioner clearly establishes that he had never misused such like concessions. He undertakes that on completion of marriage ceremonies, the petitioner shall surrender before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patiala.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kulwant Singh, does not dispute the factum of marriage of the daughter of the petitioner as well as the fact that earlier also, the petitioner has been given such like concession to attend the marriage of his daughter and niece. He also submits that in the case in hand, the trial is on the verge of his completion.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the marriage of daughter of the petitioner is fixed for 16.10.2015 and in past, this Court vide CRM-M-

34373 of 2010 and CRM-M-41661-2014 has allowed the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and he has surrendered before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, this petition needs to be accepted.

Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on interim bail from 13.10.2015 to 19.10.2015, however, subject to satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patiala. The petitioner is directed to surrender before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patiala on 19.10.2015 at 2.00 p.m.

Petition stands allowed in the above terms.

October 12, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE