

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 34626 of 2015
Date of decision : 08.10.2015**

Preeti Shankar

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. R.S.Athwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has claimed two reliefs, the first is that further investigation may be carried out into the suicide of her son Koustab and the second is to take departmental action against the police officials who, as per the petitioner, did not conduct a full investigation. As regards the second prayer, learned counsel has fairly accepted that he would not press the same but with regard to his first prayer it is his allegation that though Koustab had committed suicide due to harassment by respondent No.4-Poonam Dadiyal. Prior to this incident various complaints were made by other parents also with regard to the misconduct of aforesaid Poonam Dadiyal but the police did not bother to investigate the same. Learned counsel has further stated that the prosecution evidence is still going on.

In my opinion it would not be necessary to direct the police to carry out further investigation but in case the petitioner has other evidence of the misconduct of the accused she would move an application for leading further evidence before the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

October 08 , 2015
sunita