

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-34592 of 2015
Date of Decision:-02.11.2015
...Petitioners

Dharminder Singh and others

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present:- Mr. P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate,
for petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate,
for the complainant.

SHEKHER DHAWAN, J.

Present petition under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.58 dated 14.09.2015 under Sections 307, 324, 323, 506, 148, 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Nandgarh, District Bathinda.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per information available with the police, petitioners have not been attributed any injury and the specific injuries have been attributed to Manpreet Singh and Lakhvir Singh whereas no specific role has been attributed to the petitioners. Complainant implicated the petitioners and several other persons due to the personal grudge being party faction in the village. Local police is working hand in glove with the complainant. Complainant and the other alleged injured persons are improving and changing their version. The story of the complainant is totally cooked up story. Petitioners are ready to join the investigation. So petitioners be released on anticipatory

bail.

3. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for complainant submitted that Dharminder Singh was armed with *Kappa*, Gagandeep Singh was armed with *Kirpan* and Varinder Singh was armed with Hockey. They caused injuries on the person of Rajvir Singh, Talwinder Singh and Lakhvir Singh. Dharminder Singh caused injury to Rajvir Singh with sharp edged weapon. Petitioners are required for custodial investigation and there are no grounds for release of the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. Having considered the facts that in the present case, Rajvir Singh, Talvinder Singh and Lakhvir Singh were admitted in the hospital and they are medically examined. Petitioners Dharminder Singh was armed with *Kappa*, Gagandeep Singh was armed with *Kirpan* and Varinder Singh was armed with Hockey. They had allegedly caused injuries to Rajvir Singh, Talwinder Singh and Lakhvir Singh. As per injured Talwinder Singh, Gagandeep Singh was holding Kirpan and he had given *kirpan* blow on his head. Varinder Singh also gave blow of hockey which hit on his left eye. Keeping in view the nature of injuries, caused to the complainant and weapons used for commission of offence by petitioners, petitioners do not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

5. In view of the above, present petition being without any merit stands dismissed.

November 02, 2015

msd

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE