

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

271

CRM-M No. 34564 of 2015
Date of decision:-30.11. 2015

Shambu Nath Sood

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate,
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 08.10.2015 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation.

The factum of joining investigation has been affirmed by learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Amrik Singh. However, learned counsel for the complainant opposes bail of the petitioner on the ground of seriousness of offence and also submits that the petitioner is not entitled for grant of anticipatory bail as due to his action, the son of the complainant committed suicide and it is a case of abetment.

Heard arguments of learned counsel for the parties and have also perused the allegations levelled in the FIR.

The allegations of abetment is a matter of trial and cannot be considered at this stage. Nothing can be said on the basis of mere allegations that the son of the petitioner committed suicide because of harassment made by the petitioner due to dispute of giving shop on rent. The interim order dated 08.10.2015 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

30.11.2015
PA

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE