

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-34548 of 2015 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 30.11.2015**

**Veerpal Kaur @ Parbjot Kaur**

**..... Petitioners**

**versus**

**State of Punjab**

**... Respondent**

with

**Crl. Misc. No. M-34907 of 2015 (O&M)**

**Chamkaur Singh**

**..... Petitioners**

**versus**

**State of Punjab**

**... Respondents**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest? No

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY**

Present: Mr. P.P.S. Tung, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Sidhu, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.**

1. Two separate petitions have been filed, one by Veerpal Kaur and other by her husband Chamkaur Singh, seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 146 dated 17.09.2015, registered under Sections 306/34 IPC, P.S. Dayalpura, District Bathinda.

2. Gurdev Singh lodged an FIR consequent upon the suicide by his brother Hardev Singh who was unmarried. Veerpal Kaur and her husband Chamkaur Singh had suggested a girl for Hardev Singh. Veerpal Kaur and Chamkaur Singh sent Paramjit Kaur and Kamaljit Kaur to the house of the complainant. The meeting took place. Later Paramjit Kaur, Kamaljit Kaur, Chamkaur Singh and Veerpal Kaur went to the Sarpanch of the village and accused Hardev Singh of sexual assault with Kamaljit Kaur. The Sarpanch summoned Hardev Singh. Hardev Singh told the Sarpanch that he had not committed any act. Veerpal Kaur demanded Rs.30,000/- from Hardev Singh for not lodging the FIR. Threats were given to Hardev Singh that he would be implicated in a false case if the money is not parted with. Hardev Singh could not arrange the amount and later committed suicide.

3. I have heard counsel for both the sides.

4. Counsel for the petitioners contends that Kamaljit Kaur had got her medical done. Counsel was asked to refer to

the MLR which is not available. The counsel seeks support from ***Gangula Mohan Reddy Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh 2010(1) RCR (Criminal) 603*** and urges that there is no suicide note and perhaps Hardev was over sensitive and fearing that a FIR would be lodged, he ended his life and the petitioners could not be blamed.

5. The State counsel opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Both the petitioners had suggested an alliance for Hardev Singh and had accompanied Kamaljit Kaur and Paramjit Kaur to the house of the complainant. After the visit they went to the house of the complainant. Both the petitioners had accompanied Kamaljit Kaur who accused Hardev Singh of sexual assault. Veerpal Kaur demanded Rs.30,000/- for not lodging the FIR. Chamkaur Singh was also present. There are allegations of extortion. The allegations are serious. Police has to interrogate the petitioners to find out their role which led to pushing the deceased into such a state that he committed suicide. The authority cited is not applicable. Moreover, the findings then came after a complete trial. It is not a case where fetters can be placed on the investigators or investigation stalled in the very beginning.

Both the petitions are dismissed.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)  
JUDGE**

30.11.2015  
Sunil