

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.38357 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20th July, 2015

Surjit Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. J.S. Bhinder, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. C.S. Brar, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab with
ASI Mohinderjit Singh.

None for the remaining respondents.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has placed on record report by way of affidavit of Jagatpreet Singh, PPS, Dy. Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Sunam, District Sangrur along with death certificates of Bhupinder Singh and Madan Lal, and statement of clerk from the office of Civil Surgeon, Sangrur and Rajindra Hospital, Patiala in this regard.

Report dated 04.05.2015 of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sunam (Sangrur) has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Surjit Singh son of Puran Singh,

Jarnail Singh, Jaswinder Singh and Gurjant Singh and the accused persons namely Surjit Singh son of Madan Lal, Gurmail Singh, Ram Dev, Parvinder Singh and Harwinderpal Singh son of Jagdish Ram, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a case of version and cross-version, the fact that parties belong to the same area and the offences for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in resolving the personal dispute and in bringing about peace and harmony and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of Rapat No.37 arising out of FIR No.85 dated 02.06.2010 registered at Police Station Sunam, District Sangrur under Sections 341/355/506/148/149/323/325 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

July 20, 2015
rps