

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-34428 of 2015
Date of Decision:-21.12.2015**

Mandeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Nain, Assistant A.G. Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.60 dated 2.6.2012 (Annexure P-1), under Section 307 IPC (later on offence under Section 307 IPC was deleted and offence under Sections 498-A and 309 IPC was added vide DDR No.17 dated 12.8.2012) on the basis of compromise dated 21.4.2015 (Annexure P-2), as the same is the result of matrimonial dispute arose between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that though initially the FIR was registered under Section 307 IPC, however, later on Section 307 IPC was deleted and offence under Sections 498-A and 309

IPC was added, thus in the case in hand prayer is for quashing of FIR under Sections 498-A and 309 IPC on the basis of compromise.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh submits that the police has already conducted the investigation and is in the process of filing cancellation report.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

However, in case cancellation report is not presented or protest petition is filed against cancellation, the petitioners shall be at liberty to file fresh petition seeking quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise.

December 21, 2015
Vijay Asija

(*HARI PAL VERMA*)
JUDGE