

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.3828 of 2012
Date of decision: 09.01.2015**

Raj Nanda and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and anothers

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN.

Present: Mr. Mohinder S. Nain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents raised the preliminary objection that the petitioner has not availed his alternative remedy of filing revision before the Sessions Court against the impugned order.

After arguing the case for some time, the learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition, with liberty to file a revision before the Sessions Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty aforesaid.

If the revision petition will be filed, the respondents shall not oppose the revision on the point of limitation.

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

09.01.2015

yakub