

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-37190 of 2013
Date of Decision: 05.12.2015

Pawan Naagar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Anil Gupta

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Sethi, Advocate
for the respondent.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that since the main case has already been decided by the trial Court and the petitioner has been acquitted, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute the aforesaid fact and submits that against the aforesaid order of acquittal, the complainant-respondent has also filed an appeal.

Taking into consideration the fact that the trial Court has decided the matter, the present petition has rendered infructuous.

This petition is disposed of, having been rendered infructuous.

December 05, 2015
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE