

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-34423 of 2014  
Date of Decision: 12.8.2015**

Mohinder Singh Bagri and another --Petitioner.

Vs.

U.T.Chandigarh --Respondent.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK**

Present : Mr. A.S.Kalra, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate for  
Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate for U.T.Chandigarh.

Mr. Dhirender Chopra, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)**

Petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in FIR No. 206 dated 21.8.2014 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-26, Chandigarh.

Notice of motion was issued and interim protection was granted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the order dated 21.7.2015 passed by this Court, an amount of ₹1,50,000/- has been paid to the complainant-Smt. Parveen who is present in the Court, by way of bank draft dated 8.8.2015 and the same has been graciously accepted by her. He prays for allowing the present petition.

Learned counsel for the complainant, on instructions from the complainant, also fairly states that since the complainant has

AMRINDER  
2015.08.12 16:22  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document

received the abovesaid amount of ₹1,50,000/- in the Court, she has no objection in case instant petition is allowed. After having instructions from the complainant, learned counsel for the complainant also submits that since the agreed amount has been paid by the petitioners towards full and final payment, complainant will have no objection in case the petitioners file a petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise, however, if the petitioners also agree for quashing of FIR bearing No. 499 dated 27.9.2015 under Section 365 IPC, lodged against the complainant by the petitioners at Police Station Sector-5 Pachkula.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners also fairly states that once the parties have arrived at an amicable settlement, petitioners shall also have no objection in case the complainant files petition for quashing of abovesaid FIR.

Similarly, learned counsel for the U.T.Chandigarh also fairly states that petitioners have joined the investigation and they are no more required for the purpose of any further investigation.

In view of the above, instant petition is allowed and order dated 1.10.2014 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute, however, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)**  
**JUDGE**

12.8.2015  
Ak Sharma