

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.34312 of 2015

Date of decision : 08.10.2015

Simranjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Divjyot Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the accused.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed challenging the order dated 10.09.2015 vide which the evidence of the petitioner-complainant/prosecution has been closed. It has been recorded in the order that sufficient opportunities including the issuance of bailable/non-bailable warrants were granted to the prosecution and that is why order closing evidence had to be passed.

Learned counsel for the complainant-petitioner states that the matter is fixed for tomorrow and the complainant-petitioner undertakes to appear herself and ensure that evidence is closed tomorrow itself and would not seek any further opportunity for leading evidence.

Learned counsel for the accused states that 26 opportunities were granted to the prosecution to conclude the evidence and the accused have closed their defence evidence

because the petitioner-complainant had not appeared and their statements have also been recorded under Section 313 Cr.P.C.

In my opinion these two facts can be remedied. In case any further evidence is lead tomorrow, the accused would be entitled to lead defence evidence and also get their statements recorded under Section 313 Cr.P.C. afresh. With this limited relief the present petition is disposed of.

A copy of this order be handed over dasti to both the learned counsel under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

October 08, 2015
sunita