

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34292 of 2015.
Decided on:-07.10.2015

Atma Ram.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner Atma Ram has filed the present petition seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.26 dated 11.4.2015 under Sections 452, 323, 148 and 149 IPC (Section 325 IPC added subsequently vide Rapat No.21 dated 21.4.2015 and thereafter offence under Sections 326 and 379 IPC added vide Rapat No.19 dated 17.5.2015) registered at Police Station Arni Wala, District Fazilka.

Earlier the petitioner has filed a petition for anticipatory bail in this Court bearing ***No.CRM-M-19631 of 2015*** titled as ***“Atma Ram and others Versus State of Punjab”***. Since this Court was not inclined to accede to the request of the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner prayed for withdrawal of said petition and the same was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 27.7.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the facts as detailed in report (Annexure P-8) were not in the knowledge of the petitioner and, therefore, he could not bring the same to the notice of this Court.

Since the facts were already in existence, plea of the petitioner is not acceptable.

Taking into consideration that the earlier petition for anticipatory bail filed by the petitioner was dismissed, I find no ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner through the instant petition.

Dismissed.

October 07, 2015

Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE