

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34283-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 14, 2015

Gursewak Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Ms.Alisha Soni, Advocate for
Mr.R.V.S.Chugh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.G.S.Nagra, Advocate
for the informant/complainant

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Krishan Kumar, Police Station, Sardulgarh, District Mansa, submits that in compliance of the interim directions issued vide order dated 07.10.2015, the petitioner did join the investigation and no more required for custodial interrogation.

Mr.G.S.Nagra, Advocate, learned counsel for the informant/complainant has opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner but could not substantiate as to why the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, interim directions issued vide order dated 07.10.2015 passed by this Court are made absolute. He shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 438(2), Cr.P.C.

December 14, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE