

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(217)

CRM-M-3417-2015

Decided on: September 21, 2015.

Dinesh @ Deva

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioner along with other co-accused had allegedly made an attempt to kidnap the daughter of the complainant when she was on her way to school. She was rescued as she was accompanied by other girls.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and the petitioner was involved in the case on the basis of disclosure statement of his co-accused and was named in the supplementary statement of the complainant.

Learned State counsel submits that the specific role has been attributed to the petitioner that he had actively participated in the occurrence. He has also submitted that all the prosecution witnesses stand examined and statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C of the petitioner has also been recorded and the case is at final stage.

Next date of hearing before the trial Court is stated to be

05.10.2015.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has agreed that the expression of opinion at this stage might prejudice the prosecution or the petitioner. In view of said circumstances, petitioner is permitted to withdraw the petition with liberty to approach this Court, in case, the trial is not concluded by the end of October, 2015.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

September 21, 2015
harsha