

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34060-2015

Date of Decision: October 5, 2015

Sonia

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate,
for Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned proxy counsel submits that he has instructions to state at the bar that the petitioner would be satisfied if the Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Amritsar/respondent No. 2, is directed to decide the representation (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner.

In view of above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Amritsar/respondent No. 2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-6) within two months of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

October 5, 2015
Pkapoor