

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.34042 of 2015
Date of Decision : 05.10.2015**

Navjot Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Parshant Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset learned counsel for the petitioners states that inadvertently in the Annexure P-4, Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala has been mentioned whereas it should be Commissioner of Police Amritsar. He is permitted to correct the mistake.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 8.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of her proof of age petitioner No.1 has placed on record an extract of her Adhaar Card (Annexure P-1) wherein her year of birth is mentioned as 1996 and petitioner No.2 has also placed on record an extract of his Adhaar Card (Annexure P-2) depicting his date of birth as 01.01.1995. They have also moved a representation before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Annexure P-4) for protection of their life and liberty.

Without adverting to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, the Commissioner of Police, Amritsar to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-4).

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

October 05, 2015
sunita

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE