

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34011-2015(O&M)

Date of Decision: 05.10.2015

PARAMJIT KAUR

...PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.G.S.Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has sought action against respondent No.5 and protection from him as well for allegedly having raped her. The petitioner has made several representations against respondent No.5, the latest being representation dated 23.08.2015(Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 but till date no action has been taken thereon. Learned counsel states that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take whatever action he deems necessary on the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner of the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)

JUDGE

October 05, 2015

sunita