

249 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1. CRM No.M-34043 of 2014
Date of Decision: January 19, 2015

Harpal Singh and another Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and another Respondent

2. CRM No.M-34112 of 2014
Date of Decision: January 19, 2015

Satnam Singh and another Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and another Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Tarun Vir Singh Lehal, Advocate for the petitioners
(in CRM No.M-34043 of 2014 and
or respondent No.2 in CRM No.M-34112 of 2014.)

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate for respondent No.2
(in CRM No.M-34043 of 2014 and
for the petitioners in CRM No.M-34112 of 2014.)

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

By this order, I will dispose of two criminal petitions bearing CRM Nos.M-34043 and 34112 of 2014 being the cross cases.

Petitioners have filed aforesaid petitions under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for quashing of the FIR No.32, dated 13.05.2014, under Sections 323, 324, 326, 148, 149, 452 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Tibbar, District Gurdaspur, on the basis of compromise dated 25.07.2014 (Annexure P-2).

Report of learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurdaspur, has been received. The learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurdaspur has recorded the statements of the parties and reported to this Court that the compromise effected between the parties is genuine and is of their free will.

The case is still at the stage of investigation. Since the parties have amicably settled their dispute therefore, the FIR in question along with consequential proceedings stand quashed.

Both the petitions are accordingly allowed.

January 19, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE