

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

204

**CRM-M-33950 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 20.10.2015.**

Rinku

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Rajiv Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has presented this petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. seeking for grant of regular bail during the pendency of trial in FIR No. 30 dated 25.01.2013 under Sections 323, 506, 34, 307, 302 IPC, at Police Station Samalkha, Panipat. The trial Court rejected bail application dated 10.03.2015 on the ground that the petitioner is likely to tamper with the prosecution evidence by pressurizing the material prosecution witnesses who are yet to be examined as on that date. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that as on today material witnesses have been examined therefore, he is entitled for bail. Examination of prime witnesses in the matter is a subsequent event and it is a fresh cause of action for the petitioner to approach the trial Court seeking for bail. Therefore, this petition is

rejected. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the trial Court with reference to fresh ground that the material prosecution witnesses have been examined as on today.

20.10.2015

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**