

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.33906 of 2015

Date of decision: 14th December, 2015

Ramesh Chand Jain

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Manvir Batth, Advocate for
Mr. ADS Sukhija, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Pavittar Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 19.10.2015 of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division)-cum-Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Panchkula has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Anita Bhomia as well as the accused namely Ramesh Chand Jain, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise Ex.P1 has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure accident case, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.107 dated 31.05.2014 registered at Police Station Sector 14, Panchkula under Sections 379/201 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 14, 2015
rps