

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

240

**CRM-M-33968 of 2014
Date of Decision: 30.03.2015.**

Lakhwinder Singh and others

...Petitioners.

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. G.S. Sirphikhi, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. A.S. Kalar, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. Vishal Sodhi, Advocate for respondents no.2 and 3.

Sneh Prashar, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short "Cr.P.C."), the petitioners pray for quashing of First Information Report No.103 dated 30.06.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 420/465/466/467/468/471/120-B of the Indian Penal Code (in short "I.P.C.") registered at Police Station City Batala, District Batala, Gurdaspur and subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Vide order dated 30.09.2014, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise. Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Batala, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and that they have voluntarily compromised the matter. Learned counsel for the State does not

dispute genuineness of the compromise in view of the report of trial Court.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondents no.2 and 3 has admitted that the amount to be paid by the petitioners to respondent Mandeep Kaur and her daughter has since been received by her.

4. A perusal of allegations in the First Information Report reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in 'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end. In this view of the matter, the petition is allowed and First Information Report No.103 dated 30.06.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 420/465/466/467/468/471/120-B of I.P.C. registered at Police Station City Batala, District Batala, Gurdaspur and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioners.

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

March 30, 2015.

jitender