

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-3390 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.02.2015**

Suyash Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjli Sharma, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Chander Pal.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.578 dated 20.11.2013, under Sections 420, 406, 468, 471, 120B, 212 IPC and Section 65/66 of I.T. Act registered at Police Station, City Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and the offence allegedly committed by the petitioner is triable by the Court of Magistrate. The petitioner is in custody since 1.12.2013. The challan stands presented and the case is now fixed for prosecution evidence. Out of total 15 witnesses nine are still remains to be examined.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel oppose the

prayer for bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Keeping in view the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future; no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further incarceration, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed, the petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of ₹2,00,000/- with two local sureties of the like amount , to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhari.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

February 18, 2015

Manoj Bhutani

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**