

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-33820 of 2015

Date of Decision: October 07, 2015

Arun

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Vijay Lath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Himmat Singh, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Mr.Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C.
for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.69 dated 07.03.2015 under
Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325, 326, 452, 307, 302 and 506 IPC,
registered at Police Station Sadar Ballabgarh, District Faridabad.

Notice of motion was issued and learned State counsel as
well as learned counsel for the complainant appeared and contested
the petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as
learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

In the present case, the challan has already been

presented and charges have also been framed but no PW has been examined and the case is at preliminary stage. The present petitioner is named in the FIR and he along with other co-accused had taken active participation in the commission of the offence. The petitioner is stated to be armed with danda and he gave simple injuries to the deceased.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the fact that the petitioner has participated in the commission of offence and caused simple injuries to the deceased along with other co-accused, I do not find it a fit case where petitioner is entitled to benefit of regular bail. There is every possibility that petitioner may tamper with the evidence if he is granted bail and he may abscond also.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

October 07, 2015
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE