

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-3374 of 2015
Date of Decision:- 18.02.2015**

Neel Kanth

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR

**Present: Mr. Amit Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. J.S. Sekhon, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.**

MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR, J.(oral)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition for the grant of anticipatory bail, in a case registered against him, vide FIR No.46 dated 20.03.2013, on accusation of having committed the offences punishable under Sections 379 and 411 IPC, by the police of Police Station Sadar Hoshiarpur.

2. Notice of the petition was issued to the State.
3. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, going through the record with their valuable assistance and after considering the entire matter deeply, to my mind, the present petition for anticipatory bail deserves to be accepted in this context.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the following order was passed by this Court on February 02, 2015: -

“Learned counsel, inter alia, contended that initially the petitioner was granted the concession of regular bail in this very case. Subsequently on 05.12.2014 he could not appear as he met with an accident and non-bailable warrant has been issued against him without any cogent reasons by the trial Court.

Heard.

Notice of motion be issued to the respondent, returnable for 18.02.2015.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to appear/surrender before the next date of hearing and the trial Court would admit him to interim (provisional) bail, on his filing a specific affidavit that he will regularly attend the proceedings in the trial Court in future and on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to its satisfaction.”

5. At the very outset, learned counsel has placed on record his affidavit and stated at the bar that the petitioner has already appeared/surrendered and the bail & surety bonds furnished by him, in pursuance of the pointed order of this Court, were attested and accepted by the trial Court.

6. In the light of aforesaid reasons and taking into consideration the totality of facts and circumstances, emanating from the record, as discussed here-in-above, the instant petition for anticipatory bail is accepted and the interim (provisional) bail already granted to the petitioner, by virtue of indicated order by this Court, is hereby made absolute.

February 18, 2015
naresh.k

(MEHINDER SINGH SULLAR)
JUDGE