

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-33742 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION: 21.02.2015

Anirban Roy

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. Lalit Chaudhary, Advocate and
Mr. S.S. Momi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 373 dated 3.7.2013 registered under Section 406/420 IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak, on the basis of compromise.

Notice of motion was issued on 29.9.2014 and vide order dated 31.10.2014, parties were directed to appear before the trial Court for recording of their statements with regard to compromise. The trial Court was also directed to submit its report before this Court regarding genuineness/validity of the compromise.

In response to the directions issued by this Court, a report has

been received from the trial Court, which is on record. It has been mentioned in the report that statement of complainant-Savitri Devi has been recorded, wherein, she has stated that she has received demand draft dated 17.1.2015 bearing No. 235898 for an amount of ₹ 93,142/- as per memorandum of understanding and nothing is due towards the petitioner. She was identified by her counsel, namely, Gaurav Bharti, while recording her statement on 22.1.2015 as is clear from the statement, which is on record. It has also been mentioned in the report that the compromise is genuine and complainant has received the amount and no pressure was there from either side.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from ASI Harjinder Singh submits that the demand draft has been handed over to the complainant, which has duly been received by her.

Since the matter has been compromised between the parties and complainant has received the amount in dispute and she is satisfied with the same and stated in her statement that nothing is due towards the present petitioner, petition is allowed and impugned criminal proceedings arising out of FIR No. 373 dated 3.7.2013 registered under Section 406/420 IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner, namely, Anirban Roy are quashed.

February 21, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE