

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

201

CRM-M-33680-2014

Date of decision: 14.7.2015

NIHAL SINGH

.....Petitione

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.G.S.Nahel , Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Arshdeep S.Kler, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Atul Kaushik, Advocate,
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 249 dated 15.8.2014 registered under Sections 498-A IPC and 406 IPC (added later on) at P.S. City Sangrur District Sangrur.

Petitioner and the complainant are in Government service, working as teachers. One child born to them, who is five years of age. Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur, vide order dated 5.9.2014, granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that no recovery was to be effected

from him. Only allegation was that the accused had harassed the complainant in connection with demand of car. On the basis of no objection raised by the prosecution, petitioner was granted interim bail, but he was ordered to join investigation. On 17.9.2014, Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur again directed the petitioner to join investigation. It was in the context when the petitioner pointed out that earlier there was no offence in terms of Section 406 IPC and then the same was added. Thereafter, Additional Sessions Judge Sangrur dismissed the prayer for anticipatory bail on the ground that some articles have been recovered, but some gold and cash remained to be recovered.

Before this Court also, matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and the petitioner was allowed to join the investigation on number of occasions. Marriage took place on 15.2.2009 and even a child born to them, who is aged about five years.

Taking into entirety of facts and circumstances, interim order dated 25.11.2014 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

July 14, 2015
anita