

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-33609-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 9.3.2015

Gurpreet Kaur @ Mona & another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Yogesh Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Vikas Malik, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.797 dated 26.9.2013 in a case registered against the petitioners under Sections 406, 420, 506, 120-B IPC at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

Learned State counsel at the outset submits that matter has been investigated by the State Crime Branch. After investigation, final report under section 173 Cr.P.C. has been submitted before the trial court. Case is now fixed for consideration of charge on 22.4.2015. He has referred to reply filed by way of affidavit of Satish Gautam, Dy. Superintendent of Police (HQ), Kurukshetra. A perusal of of same shows that the investigating agency has come to the conclusion that there is substance in the allegations levelled by respondent No.5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, however, opposes the plea. According to him, there is a civil dispute between the parties. Due to same, complainant has falsely implicated the petitioners.

I am of the considered view that these disputed questions can be decided only by the trial court after some evidence is led before it. There is, thus, no scope for interference by this court in inherent jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

9.3.2015
'rajpal'