

102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33538 of 2015

Date of Decision: October 01, 2015.

Tarsem Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SABINA, J.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.102 dated 08.06.2015, under Sections 451, 323, 324, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' for short) (Sections 326, 307 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar, Samana, District Patiala.

Prosecution story, in brief, is that on 06.06.2015 at about 8:00 PM, complainant was lying on a cot in his courtyard after taking dinner. In the meantime, Nehru Singh armed with *gandasa*, Tarsem Singh armed with *danda*, Sukhdev Singh armed with *takua*, Jeeto, Raj Kaur, Mohinder Singh, Raj empty handed came there. Nehru Singh gave a *gandasa* blow on the head of the complainant. Tarsem Singh gave a *danda* blow on the right thigh of the complainant. Complainant raised alarm. On hearing the alarm, wife of the complainant reached the spot. Then Sukhdev Singh gave a *takua* blow on the head of

the wife of the complainant. Jeeta, Raj Kaur and Raj gave fists and leg blows on the person of the wife of the complainant. Sukhdev Singh gave a *takua* blow on the left arm of the complainant. Mohinder Singh gave fists blow on the person of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.2 is the real brother of the complainant, whereas, petitioner No.1 is the nephew of the complainant. Parties are closely related to each other. Petitioners have been falsely involved in this case.

In the present case, petitioners are specifically named in the FIR and have been attributed injuries on the person of the complainant. Petitioners might be required for custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the seriousness of allegations levelled against the petitioners, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made out.

Dismissed.

(SABINA)
JUDGE

October 01, 2015
mahavir