

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-33477 of 2015
Date of Decision:-14.12.2015**

Sukhbir Singh Sandhu and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present :- Mr. Kawalpreet Singh Virk, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

Ms. Sambodhi Kasni, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of FIR No.0663 dated 5.9.2015, under Sections 468, 467, 420, 471, 120-B and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Karnal Civil Lines, District Karnal alongwith all consequential proceedings on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 30.9.2015, this Court has directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to forward a report about the genuineness of the compromise to this Court.

In compliance of the order dated 30.9.2015, the parties have appeared before the learned Magistrate on 26.10.2015 for getting their statements recorded.

The report dated 09.11.2015 received from the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Karnal, reveals that the compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned State counsel has filed the reply in the Court. Same is taken on record. Copy supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 does not dispute the fact of compromise effected between the parties.

Learned State counsel also does not dispute the aforesaid factual position, rather admit the factum of compromise effected between the parties.

Heard.

Since the parties have entered into a compromise and learned Magistrate has recorded their statements followed by his report, continuation of the trial arising out from the impugned FIR and consequential proceedings would be sheer abuse of the process of law since chances of the conviction are bleak.

Keeping in view the factum of compromise effected between the parties and the law laid down by this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 (P & H)** and **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others, (2012) 10 SCC 303** this petition is accepted and FIR No.0663 dated 5.9.2015,

under Sections 468, 467, 420, 471, 120-B and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Karnal Civil Lines, District Karnal and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua petitioner.

The petition is disposed of.

December 14, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE