

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33434 of 2015.

Decided on:-01.10.2015.

Zile Singh and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that though the petitioners have a remedy to file revision petition against the impugned order of summoning dated 9.3.2015 passed by the trial Court, but they have not invoked the said remedy. However, he seeks liberty to file a revision petition against the summoning order dated 9.3.2015 provided the delay is condoned.

Learned counsel for the petitioners relies upon the order dated 15.9.2015 passed by this Court in CRM-M-31330 of 2015 titled “Baljeet Singh and another Versus State of Haryana and others” wherein the petition was disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioners prefer a revision petition against the impugned summoning order within a week, the trial

Court shall condone the delay.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms as in CRM-M-31330 of 2015.

October 01, 2015

'Yag Dutt'

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE