

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-334-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.02.2015

Sompal @ Satpal @ Sombir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Balwan Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.407 dated 26.10.2013, registered under Section 392, 397, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sampla, Distt. Rohtak.

It is contended that no recovery was effected from the petitioner. The petitioner has been falsely implicated on the disclosure statement made by co-accused, Sanjay. He refers to the deposition of Subhash Malik, PW-4, a star witness, who has not identified the

petitioner to be the person who robbed him and took away the vehicle owned by him.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is involved in six more FIRs. Out of twenty one prosecution witnesses, four stand already examined.

Heard.

In the instant case, no recovery was effected from the petitioner. He is in custody since 24.04.2014. Only four out of twenty one prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, thus, it can safely be inferred that the conclusion of the trial may take time.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

09.02.2015
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE