

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-33373 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 05.11.2015

Sukhjit Singh @ Bittu and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. SS Narula, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Tarunveer Vashisth, Advocate and
Mr. S.S. Deol, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner No.1 has been arrested during the pendency of this petition and petition qua to him has already been dismissed as having become infructuous on 15.10.2015.

Learned counsel further contends that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 15.10.2015, petitioner No.2 has joined the investigation and has also been released on interim bail.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State has not disputed the

factum of joining the investigation by petitioner No.2. She further contends that love letters and suicide note have been sent for comparison.

Learned counsel for the complainant opposes grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner No.2 on the ground that he has specifically been named by the deceased in the suicide notice.

Since petitioner No.2 has joined the investigation, nothing is to be recovered from him and the allegations are matter of evidence, which can be seen during the trial, interim order passed by this Court on 15.10.2015 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 05, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE