

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.333 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision :22.01.2015

Amit Kumar alis Sonu alias Billu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG, Punjab.

- i. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- ii. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- iii. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed in case bearing FIR No.281 dated 18.12.2014, under Sections 420, 120-B IPC and Section 61 of The Punjab Excise Act, 1914 and Section 103 of Trade Mark Act,1999, registered at Police Station Rajpura City, District Patiala.

At the very outset it is stated that DSP Rajpura could not come because there is a death in his family. The reason is accepted. Today learned Addl.AG states that the owner of the building has given an affidavit that the petitioner along with some other person had taken his premises on rent for the purpose of doing the business of scrap. Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the alleged recovery is of 156 bottles of liquor and no machinery or any label mentioning 'for sale in Punjab' was recovered.

Learned Addl.AG, on instructions from Inspector Shavinder Singh, has not been able to deny the fact that the recovery was only of 156 bottle of liquor and some empty bottles.

In these circumstances I do not deem it appropriate to deny bail to the present petitioner. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the investigating officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc.Application, if any also stands disposed of.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

January 22, 2015
sunita