

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.33351 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27th November, 2015

State of Punjab

... Petitioner

Versus

Raman Uppal

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Ms. Reeta Kohli, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab with
Mr. Hanspal Virk, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for the petitioner.

Ms. Diya Sodhi, Advocate
for the respondent.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Petitioner/State has come up in this petition under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. seeking cancellation of pre-arrest bail granted to respondent Raman Uppal vide order dated 13.08.2014 passed in CRM-M No.22223 of 2014 in a case registered by way of FIR No.125 dated 10.06.2014 under Sections 406/420 IPC pertaining to Police Station Phase I, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

It is undisputedly agreed by the two sides that the present FIR has been registered by one Narinder Singh claiming to be the

Director of M/s International Customers Related Management Services Private Limited (ICRMS) regarding a dispute over the Company between the various constituents of the Company. It is also not put to question that another case by way of FIR No.69 dated 19.04.2012 has been registered at Police Station Sector 3, Chandigarh for commission of offences under Sections 420/465/467/468/471/120-B IPC.

In the light of detailed judgment dated 16.09.2015 passed by this Court in CRM-M No.1720 of 2013 titled as 'Madan Singh and another v. UT Chandigarh and others', whereby this Court has highlighted how this dispute over running of the same very Company has led to filing of different FIRs, each by a different set of complainants, solely with the purpose to undo the effects of the other FIRs so registered against them and in view of the piquant situation that has crept in, this Court had constituted a Special Investigation Team to investigate the entire dispute between the parties over this Company and its allied functions by way of rejuvenated investigations and who shall be at liberty to register fresh FIR on the basis of available evidence documentary or oral and till any conclusive findings are arrived at by the SIT so constituted, the present matter having become infructuous stands disposed off as such.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 27, 2015

rps