

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-33113 of 2015
Date of Decision: 28.9.2015**

Jaggi Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. P.S.Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No. 115 dated 1.8.2015 under Sections 15/61/85 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Chhajli, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not a previous convict, nor he has been found involved in any other case. He further submits that so far as the present case is concerned, petitioner has been falsely implicated. In such a situation, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. He prays for allowing the present petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner at considerable length, after careful perusal of record of the case and giving thoughtful consideration to the arguments advanced, this

Court is of the considered opinion that in the given fact situation of the present case, petitioner has not been found entitled for the concession of pre-arrest bail. It is so said, because allegations against the petitioner are direct and serious. There is no reason to falsely implicate the petitioner. It is not even denied that scooter from which the contraband was recovered was not owned by the petitioner. After having been caught, petitioner fled away from the spot.

In view of the above and without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, at this stage, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, this Court is of the considered view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner would be a compulsive necessity for the investigating agency, so as to carry out an effective investigation. No case for anticipatory bail has been made out.

Dismissed.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

28.9.2015
Ak Sharma