

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RFA No. 2724 of 2001 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.12.2015

Madan Gopal and others

.. Appellants

versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh

.. Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate, for the appellants.

 Mr. Dushyant Sarvesh, Advocate for

 Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate, for U. T. Chandigarh.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

 This order will dispose of RFA Nos. 2724 of 2001 and 1603 of 2005.

 By filing appeals, the landowners are seeking enhancement of compensation for the acquired land.

 Briefly, the facts are that vide notification dated 28.6.1990 issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the Act'), the Chandigarh Administration sought to acquire land situated in the revenue estate of village Manimajra, Union Territory, Chandigarh, for residential-cum-commercial scheme. Notification under Section 6 of the Act was issued on 24.6.1991. The Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector') vide award no.479 dated 21.6.1993 assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 2,01,440/- per acre. Dissatisfied with the award of the Collector, the landowners filed objections. On reference, the learned court below determined the market value of the acquired land @ ₹ 4,35,600/- per acre. This award has been impugned by the landowners seeking further enhancement of compensation.

 Learned counsel for the landowners submitted that the issue raised in the present appeals is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in RFA No. 2016 of 2001 Sadhu Ram and others vs Union Territory.

Chandigarh decided on 5.10.2010, whereby the compensation for the land acquired vide same notification was further enhanced.

Learned counsel for U. T. Chandigarh did not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

Accordingly, for the reasons recorded in Sadhu Ram's case (supra), the present appeals are disposed of in the same terms.

22.12.2015

vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge