

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

202

Criminal Misc. No.M-33080 of 2014
Date of Decision: 12th January, 2015

Anirban Roy

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Daya Chaudhary

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. S.S.Momi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 24.09.2014 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Harinder Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation but the grievance of one of the complainant namely Savitri Devi is that the amount due to her has not been paid.

A perusal of the report sent by Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak shows that an affidavit has been given by said Savitri Devi wherein she has stated that only an amount of ₹5,000/- has been paid to her by way of cheque whereas the amount due to her husband was ₹ 98,142/-.

Learned Senior counsel submits that the petitioner is ready to settle the dispute with said Savitri Devi and without going into the controversy of the case, the balance amount i.e. Rs.93,142/- will be handed over to the Investigating officer within a period of three days for payment to said Savitri Devi.

In case, the said amount is handed over to the Investigating Officer as stated by learned Senior Counsel, the interim order dated 24.09.2014 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Accordingly, this petition stands disposed of and interim order dated 24.09.2014 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. However, the State is at liberty to revive the order in case the petitioner fails to deposit the amount.

09.01.2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE