

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-33072 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.1.2015**

Sukhdev Raj

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Palvindder Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgement should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Lakhwinder, states that petitioner has joined the investigation but the gold could not be recovered. On the other hand learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is no entrustment of the gold to the petitioner in the complaint. The matter is to be adjudicated during trial.

In these circumstances, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Therefore, interim bail granted on 24.9.2014, is made absolute.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 19, 2015
Ishant