

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(212)

CRM-M-32944-2015

Decided on: November 05, 2015.

Kala Singh and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur Athwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, Advocate, for the complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

The petitioners are father and wife of Jagdeep Singh, who had committed suicide. As per complainant Sukhdev Kaur, mother of the deceased, the deceased was abetted by the petitioners to commit suicide as petitioner No.2 had refused to join the company of the deceased.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for the complainant and gone through the police file.

Seen in context to the requirements of Section 107 IPC, it appears to be debatable at this stage, whether petitioners No. 1 and 2 could afford to loose son-in-law and husband, respectively, by any of the acts which could be termed as an act of abetment to suicide. It is unfortunate that complainant has lost her son but at the same time, the loss of the petitioners can also not be ignored.

Since it does not appear to be a case of custodial interrogation, the petitioners can be granted the concession of bail as they have joined investigation as per instructions of ASI Gurbachan Singh.

Petition is allowed. It is ordered that in case of arrest of petitioners, they will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

November 05, 2015
harsha