

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-32386 of 2015
Date of decision: 06.10.2015

Suresh Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. P. K. Jha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Deepak.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By way of present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.472/14, dated 24.09.2014, registered under Sections 381, 454, 380, 411, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station DLF Phase-II, Gurgaon.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and has been falsely implicated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel has vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that the petitioner has received a sum of Rs.15,00,000/- by way of selling his share of stolen jewellery. The recovery of stolen jewellery was effected from Chandan and Kanchan and

in their disclosure statement they admitted that they have purchased the said jewellery from the petitioner and co-accused. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for bail.

Heard.

Keeping in view the gravity of the accusation; the disclosure statement of co-accused and the fact that the recovery was effected from Chandan and Kanchan, who had purchased the jewellery from the petitioner and his co-accused, no case is made out for bail.

Dismissed.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be taken to be an opinion on merits of the case.

06.10.2015

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE