

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-32437 of 2014

Date of Decision: February 20, 2015

Pooja Rani

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sudhir Sharma, Advocate fro the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Ashik Malik, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Short reply by way of affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos.2 and 3 has been filed and the same is taken on record.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the case file.

The petitioner seeks cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to respondent Nos.2 and 3 by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, vide order dated 11.09.2014 (Annexure P-5), in case FIR No.455, dated 12.07.2014, under Sections 406, 420, 498-A and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kuruksherta.

The reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos.2 and 3 shows that in this case, challan has now been presented and regular bail has been granted to respondent Nos.2 and 3 by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kurukshetra, vide order dated 15.01.2015.

I am of the view that since challan has been presented, therefore, the custody of respondent Nos.2 and 3 would serve no purpose. Thus, there is no ground to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to respondent Nos.2 and 3. Moreover, now the regular bail has also been granted to them.

Hence, the present petition is dismissed.

February 20, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE