

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32392 of 2014

Date of Decision : March 13, 2015

Joginder Singh

....Petitioner....

v.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents....

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr. Angel Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Suryakant Goel, Advocate for
Mr. K.K. Goel, Advocate,
for respondents No. 5 to 10.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of short affidavit of Ms. Shena Aggarwal, S.D.M. Raikot, District Ludhiana, on behalf of respondent No.4, which is taken on record.

According to the contents of reply, compromise has been arrived at between the petitioner and private respondents No. 5 to 10 and no further cause of action survives.

The factum of compromise has also been admitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No. 5 to 10.

In view of these circumstances, the present petition has rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to file a fresh one, in case of any deviation from the compromise arrived at between him and respondents No. 5 to 10.

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

March 13, 2015
sonika