

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 3231 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.01.2015

Reena and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Raj Mor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. They have solemnized marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondent No.4, who is the brother of petitioner No.1.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned State counsel, in the Court.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-SP, Panipat, in case the petitioners move an application before him for protection of their life and liberty, he will look into the matter and provide necessary protection to the petitioners, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent No.4, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

30.01.2015

sumit.k

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**