IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc.No.M-32346 of 2014

Date of decision : 4.3.2015

Prem Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present : Mr.Arun Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

MAHESH GROVER, J.

Repeated adjournments have been sought by the learned counsel for the petitioners without any justifiable cause. The matter is pending since September 2014. Today also a similar prayer has been made and no justification offered. The petitioners pray for quashing of a complaint and consequent summoning order on the ground that the allegations are false. It is also stated that the procedure under Section 202 Cr.P.C. requires a prior inquiry before summoning is resorted to. Apart from this, it has been contended that pendency of an earlier complaint filed by the police and found untruthful was not disclosed to the court.

On due consideration of the matter, I am of the view

DALJIT SINGH
2015.03.10 11:15
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

that the instant petition is totally frivolous. The summoning order is based on the preliminary evidence recorded by the court indicating commission of an offence. Merely because the petitioners allege that the allegations are false cannot be a ground for quashing the complaint. Therefore, the petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioners to raise all the pleas which have been taken herein before the learned trial Court.

4.3.2015 (MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

dss

DALJIT SINGH
2015.03.10 11:15
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document