

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32281-2015
Date of decision: 8.10.2015

Vinod Kumar @ Vinod Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.55 dated 31.5.2015 registered under Sections 307, 324 and 34 IPC at Police Station Haibowal, District Ludhiana.

Notice of motion was issued and interim protection was granted to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Virender Singh, Police Station Haibowal, submits that despite having been issued three notices under Section 160 Cr.P.C., petitioner has not joined the investigation. He further submits that neither the petitioner has joined the investigation on or before 29.9.2015, as directed by this Court vide order dated 21.9.2015 nor he joined the investigation, in compliance of the notices issued to him under Section 160 Cr.P.C. He further submits that once the petitioner has misused the process of Court and did not comply with the order passed by this Court, he is not entitled for discretionary relief of anticipatory bail. He prays for dismissal of the present petition.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner pleads no instructions from the petitioner.

In view of the above and keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case particularly the conduct of the petitioner, he has not been found entitled for the concession of anticipatory bail. In such a situation, custodial interrogation of the petitioner would be the compulsive necessity of the investigating agency so as to conduct effective investigation. No case for anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

8.10.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE